	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/567,361	BROELL, DIRK	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Paul A. Zucker	1621	
Il Participants: Status of Application: <u>Allowed</u>			
(1) <u>Paul A. Zucker</u> .	(3)		
(2) Harris A Pitlick	(4)		
Date of Interview: 9 October 2007	Time:		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: 102 over Holdstock			
Claims discussed: 1,11			
Prior art documents discussed: Holdstock et al (WO 00/63149-A1 10-2000)			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet			
Part III.			
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 			
		•	
(Examper/SPE gignature) (Applican	(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner called Mr Pitlick to propose an Examiner's Amendment of the form set set forth below in order to put the application in condition for allowance. Mr. Pitlick gave his authorization for said Examiner's Amendment.

The claims have been amended as follows:

Claim 1, line 18: The phrase -- , and wherein compound III is removed by reduction in pressure -- has been inserted between the word "therefrom" and the terminal period ".".

Claim 11 has been canceled..